

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
03/09/2004	Renen Bassik	OSTEONICS 3.0-492	3498	
7590 01/06/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG,		STEWART, ALVIN J		
***************************************		ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
		3738		
	03/09/2004 7590 01/06/2006	03/09/2004 Renen Bassik 7590 01/06/2006 AVID, LITTENBERG, & MENTLIK AVENUE WEST	03/09/2004 Renen Bassik OSTEONICS 3.0-492 7590 01/06/2006 EXAM AVID, LITTENBERG, & MENTLIK AVENUE WEST ART UNIT	

DATE MAILED: 01/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/796,168	BASSIK ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Alvin J. Stewart	3738	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	ess
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEMEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Designs of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this comm D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
•	•	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		ients is
Dispositi	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-47</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-27,29,30,32,33,38-42 and 44-47</u> is Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration. /are rejected.		
Applicati	ion Papers			
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 March 2004</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	a) accepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objected to	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR	• •
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati prity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National St	age
2) Notice	t(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 sr No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/9/04: 9/20/05</u> ; チャッペ・	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	52)

Application/Control Number: 10/796,168

Art Unit: 3738

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, lines 10-15 are not clear. The Examiner does not understand how the stem permit the first neck to lock and then at the same time the stem prevent the same first neck to lock in one position. Regarding claim 5, claim 5 discloses the same problem. Correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4, 9, 11, 25-30, 32-33, 38-42 and 44-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fenlin US Patent 6,197,062 in view of Kummer et al US Patent 5,910,171.

Fenlin discloses a modular prosthesis comprising a plurality of stems, each stem including a tapered bore having a distal portion and a proximal portion and a neck registration element, a plurality of necks, each neck having a proximal end and a distal end, the distal end including a tapered portion and a stem registration element (see col. 3, lines 11-64; and Figs. 1-

3). However, Fenlin does not disclose neck and stem registration elements that can lock in multiple positions and/or prevent the registration elements lock at any position.

Kummer et al teaches a plurality of connecting elements (41) having different shapes wherein only one stem having the corresponding shape can be inserted in the corresponding bore. However, the elements having the corresponding bore shape can be inserted in multiple circumferential positions.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Fenlin reference with the plurality of necks having different shapes but are capable of having different circumferential positions and capable of preventing the elements to lock at any position in order to meet the surgeon needs.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 5-8, 10, 12-24, 31, 34-37, and 43 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alvin J. Stewart whose telephone number is 571-272-4760. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:00AM-5:30PM(1 Friday B-week off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on 571-272-4754. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/796,168

Art Unit: 3738

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ALVIN J. STEWART
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 4

December 20, 2005.